200400195

No.

THIR UNITED SHATES OF AMERICA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE: PRESENTS SHALL COME:

Hioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.

MILECTORS, THERE HAS BEEN PRESENTED TO THE

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED DISTINCT VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED, OR TUBER PROPAGATED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF TWENTY PRAYS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC SPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE UTTO EXCLUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, OR "ING.IT, OR EXPORTING IT, OR CONDITIONING IT FOR PROPAGATION, OR STOCKING IT FOR ANY OF THE URROSE, OR CONDITIONING IT FOR PROPAGATION, OR STOCKING IT FOR ANY OF THE ABOVE IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT VARIETY THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT. (84 STAT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

CORN, FIELD

'PHA9G'

Reissuance, original grant, June, 9, 2006

In Jestimonn Marrest, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Flant Inviety protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C. this twenty-first day of August, in the year two thousand and six.

Altost:

Mand Derminder

Plant Variety Protection Office Agricultural Marketing Service l Agriculture

REPRODUCE LOCALLY, Include form number and d	ate on all reprodu	ıctions		Form Approved - OMB No. 0581-0055
U.S. DEPARTME? AGRICULTURAL I SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY - P	MARKETING SER	VICE	the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of	
APPLICATION FOR PLANT VA (Instructions and information col			Application is required in order to determ (7 U.S.C. 2421). Information is held co.	mine if a plant variety protection certificate is to be issued nfidential until certificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).
NAME OF OWNER Pioneer Hi-Bred Internation	al, Inc.		2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION OR EXPERIMENTAL NAME	3. VARIETY NAME PHA9G
4. ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City,	State, and ZIP Co	de, and Country)	5. TELEPHONE (include area code)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
7301 NW 62 nd Avenue		•	515/270-4051	PVPO NUMBER
Johnston, IA 50131-0085			6. FAX (include area code)	- Onal aa aa e
·			515/253-2125	2004 00 19 5
7. IF THE OWNER NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON",		8. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE	9. DATE OF INCORPORATION	10:1 28 2006
ORGANIZATION (corporation, partnership, asso	ciation, etc.)	STATE OF INCORPORATION IOWA	March 5, 1999	April 28, 2004
10. NAME AND ADDRESS OF OWNER REPRESE	NTATIVE(S) TO S	ERVE IN THIS APPLICATION. (First)	person listed will receive all papers)	F FILING AND EXAMINATION FEES:
Steven R. Anderson				5 5 3 652.00
Research and Product Devel	opment	•		R DATE 4/28/04
P.O. Box 85		4	-	E 57/200
Johnston, IA 50131-0085				E DATE 5/24/06
	40 544 (5-1)		13. E-MAIL	D 0/2 1/1
11. TELEPHONE (Include area code)	12. FAX (Includ	•	steven.anderson@	nioneer com
515/270-4051	515/25			
14. CROP KIND (Common Name)		AME (Botanical)	V	IIN ANY TRANSGENES? (OPTIONAL)
CORN	Gramin	I CAC RIETY A FIRST GENERATION HYBRI		SSIGNED USDA-APHIS REFERENCE NUMBER FOR THE
15. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME OF CROP	17. IS THE VAI		APPROVED PETITION TO DERE	GULATE THE GENETICALLY MODIFIED PLANT FOR
Zea Mays 19. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTA			20 DOES THE OWNER SPECIE	Y THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE SOLD AS A CLASS
(Follow instructions on reverse)	-		OF CERTIFIED SEED? (See Sec	tion 83(a) of the Plant Variety Protection Act)
a. X Exhibit A. Origin and Breeding History	of the Variety		YES (If "yes", answer	items 21 and 22 below) X NO (if "no", go to item 23) Y THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE LIMITED AS TO
b. X Exhibit B. Statement of Distinctness			NUMBER OF CLASSES?	THE SEED OF THE PARENTE ENGINEERS
c. X Exhibit C. Objective Description of Val	iety		☐ YES ☐ NO	
d. Exhibit D. Additional Description of the	Variety (Optional)		☐ FOUNDATION ☐ REGISTERED ☐ CERTIFIED Y THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE LIMITED AS TO
e. X Exhibit E. Statement of the Basis of th			NUMBER OF GENERATIONS?	THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE EMPLOYED TO
f. X Voucher Sample (2,500 viable untreate verification that tissue culture will be de repository)			☐ YES ☐ NO JE YES SPECIFY THE NUMB	BER 1,2,3, etc. FOR EACH CLASS.
g. X Filing and Examination Fee (\$3,652), r	nade payable to "T	reasurer of the United		_
States" (Mail to the Plant Variety Protect	tion Office)			EGISTERED LL CERTIFIED cessary, please use the space indicated on the reverse.)
23. HAS THE VARIETY (INCLUDING ANY HARVES FROM THIS VARIETY BEEN SOLD, DISPOSED OTHER COUNTRIES?	STED MATERIAL) OF, TRANSFER	OR A HYBRID PRODUCED RED, OR USED IN THE U. S. OR		OMPONENT OF THE VARIETY PROTECTED BY HT (PLANT BREEDER'S RIGHT OR PATENT)?
X yes 🗆 no		v - v	□ YES X NO	
IF YES, YOU MUST PROVIDE THE DATE OF FOR EACH COUNTRY AND THE CIRCUMSTA			IF YES, PLEASE GIVE COUN REFERENCE NUMBER. (Plea	TRY, DATE OF FILING OR ISSUANCE AND ASSIGNED ase use space indicated on reverse.)
The owners declare that a viable sample of bas for a tuber propagated variety a tissue culture variety.	ic seed of the vari	ety has been furnished with application a public repository and maintained f	n and will be reptenished upon request in a or the duration of the certificate.	accordance with such regulations as may be applicable, or
The undersigned owner(s) is(are) the owner of	this sexually repro	duced or tuber propagated plant varie	ty, and believe(s) that the variety is new, d	istinct, uniform, and stable as required in Section 42, and is
entitled to protection under the provisions of Section		-	Had.	
Owner(s) is (are) informed that false representa	uon nerein cari je		SIGNATURE OF OWNER	
SIGNATURE OF OWNER				Meson
NAME (Please print or type)			NAME (Please print or type)	
•			Steven R. Anderson	
CAPACITY OR TITLE	DATE		CAPACITY OR TITLE	DATE
			Research Scientist	4-27-2004

INSTRUCTIONS

GENERAL: To be effectively filed with the Plant Variety Protection Office (PVPO), ALL of the following items must be received in the PVPO: (1) Completed application form signed by the owner; (2) completed exhibits A, B, C, E; (3) for a seed reproduced variety at least 2,500 viable untreated seeds, for a hybrid variety at least 2,500 untreated seeds of each line necessary to reproduce the variety, or for tuber reproduced varieties verification that a viable (in the sense that it will reproduce an entire plant) tissue culture will be deposited and maintained in an approved public repository; (4) check drawn on a U.S. bank for \$3,652 (\$432 filing fee and \$3,220 examination fee), payable to "Treasurer of the United States" (See Section 97.6 of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.) Partial applications will be held in the PVPO for not more than 90 days, then returned to the applicant as unfiled. Mail application and other requirements to Plant Variety Protection Office, AMS, USDA, Room 401, NAL Building, 10301 Baltimore Avenue, Beltsville, MD 20705-2351. Retain one copy for your files. All items on the face of the application are self explanatory unless noted below. Corrections on the application form and exhibits must be initialed and dated. DO NOT use masking materials to make corrections. If a certificate is allowed, you will be requested to send a check payable to "Treasurer of the United States" in the amount of \$432 for issuance of the certificate. Certificates will be issued to owner, not licensee or agent.

Plant Variety Protection Office Telephone: (301) 504-5518 FAX: (301) 504-5291

Homepage: http://www.ams.usda.gov/science/pvpo/pvp.htm

To avoid conflict with other variety names in use, the applicant must check the appropriate recognized authority and provide evidence that name has been cleared by the appropriate recognized authority before the Certificate of Protection is issued. For example, for agricultural and vegetable crops, contact: Seed Branch, AMS, USDA, 10301 Baltimore Avenue, Suite 401 NAL Building, Beltsville, MD 20705. Telephone: (301) 504-5682 http://www.ams.usda.gov/lsg/seed.htm.

ITEM

19a. Give:

- (1) the genealogy, including public and commercial varieties, lines, or clones used, and the breeding method;
- (2) the details of subsequent stages of selection and multiplication;
- (3) evidence of uniformity and stability; and
- (4) the type and frequency of variants during reproduction and multiplication and state how these variants may be identified
- 19b. Give a summary of the variety's distinctness. Clearly state how this application variety may be distinguished from all other varieties in the same crop. If the new variety is most similar to one variety or a group of related varieties:
 - (1) identify these varieties and state all differences objectively;
 - (2) attach statistical data for characters expressed numerically and demonstrate that these are clear differences, and
 - (3) submit, if helpful, seed and plant specimens or photographs (prints) of seed and plant comparisons which clearly indicate distinctness.
- 19c. Exhibit C forms are available from the PVPO Office for most crops; specify crop kind. Fill in Exhibit C (Objective Description of Variety) form as completely as possible to describe your variety.
- 19d. Optional additional characteristics and/or photographs. Describe any additional characteristics that cannot be accurately conveyed in Exhibit C. Use comparative varieties as is necessary to reveal more accurately the characteristics that are difficult to describe, such as plant habit, plant color, disease resistance, etc.
- 19e. Section 52(5) of the Act requires applicants to furnish a statement of the basis of the applicant's ownership. An Exhibit E form is available from the PVPO.
- 20. If "Yes" is specified (seed of this variety be sold by variety name only, as a class of certified seed), the applicant MAY NOT reverse this affirmative decision after the variety has been sold and so labeled, the decision published, or the certificate issued. However, if "No" has been specified, the applicant may change the choice. (See Regulations and Rules of Practice, Section 97.103).
- 23. See Sections 41, 42, and 43 of the Act and Section 97.5 of the regulations for eligibility requirements.
- 24. See Section 55 of the Act for instructions on claiming the benefit of an earlier filing date.
- 22. CONTINUED FROM FRONT (Please provide a statement as to the limitation and sequence of generations that may be certified.)
- 23. CONTINUED FROM FRONT (Please provide the date of first sale, disposition, transfer, or use for each country and the circumstances, if the variety (including any harvested material) or a hybrid produced from this variety has been sold, disposed of, transferred, or used in the U.S. or other countries.)

United States, Nov. 1, 2003

24. CONTINUED FROM FRONT (Please give the country, date of filing or issuance, and assigned reference number, if the variety or any component of the variety is protected by intellectual property right (Plant Breeder's Right or Patent).)

NOTES: It is the responsibility of the applicant/owner to keep the PVPO informed of any changes of address or change of ownership or assignment or owner's representative during the life of the application/certificate. The fees for filling a change of address; owner's representative; ownership or assignment; or any modification of owner's name is specified in Section 97.175 of the regulations. (See Section 101 of the Act, and Sections 97.130, 97.131, 97.175(h) of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.)

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0581-0055. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 1.4 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, sexual orientation, marital or family status, political beliefs, parental status, or protected genetic information. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at 202-720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 14th and Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call 202-720-5964 (voice and TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Exhibit A. Origin and Breeding History

Pedigree: PH3GP/PH3GR)NPX21232X

Pioneer Line PHA9G, Zea mays L., a white endosperm corn inbred with some flint characteristics, was developed by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. from the single cross hybrid PH3GP X PH3GR (PVP Certificate No. 9900357) using the pedigree method of plant breeding. Varieties PH3GP (PH3GP) and PH3GR (PH3GR) are proprietary inbred lines of Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. Variety PH3GP was derived by pedigree selection from the single cross hybrid PHN18 (Certificate No. 9200086) X PHP38 (Certificate No. 9000250). Selfing was practiced from the above hybrid for _7 generations using pedigree selection. During line development, crosses were made to inbred testers for the purpose of estimating the line's combining ability. Yield trials were grown at York, Nebraska as well as other Pioneer research locations. After initial testing, additional hybrid combinations have been evaluated and subsequent generations of the line have been grown and hand-pollinated with observations again made for uniformity.

Variety PHA9G has shown uniformity and stability for all traits as described in Exhibit C - "Objective Description of Variety". It has been self-pollinated and ear-rowed _5 generations with careful attention paid to selection criteria and uniformity of plant type to assure genetic homozygousity and phenotypic stability. The line has been increased both by hand and in isolated fields with continued observations for uniformity and stability, and for _3 generations during the final stages of inbred development and seed multiplication. Very high standards for genetic purity have been established morphologically using field observations and electrophoretically using sound lab molecular marker methodology.

No variant traits have been observed or are expected in PHA9G.

The criteria used in the selection of PHA9G were white endosperm, yield, both per se and in hybrid combinations; late season plant health, grain quality, stalk lodging resistance, and kernel size, especially important in production. Other selection criteria include: ability to germinate in adverse conditions; disease and insect resistance; pollen yield and tassel size.

Exhibit A: Developmental history for PHA9G

Season/Year Pedigree Grown	Inbreeding Level of Pedigree Grown
PH3GP	F0
PH3GR	F0
PH3GP/PH3GR Winter 1996	F1
PH3GP/PH3GR)NPX Summer 1997	F2
PH3GP/PH3GR)NPX2 Summer 1998	F3 t
PH3GP/PH3GR)NPX21 Winter 1998	F4
PH3GP/PH3GR)NPX212 Summer 1999	F5
PH3GP/PH3GR)NPX2123 Winter 1999	F6
PH3GP/PH3GR)NPX21232 Summer 2000	F7
PH3GP/PH3GR)NPX21232X	F8 SEED

^{*}PHA9G was selfed and ear-rowed from F3 through F7 generation. #Uniformity and stability were established from F6 through F8 generation and beyond when seed supplies were increased.

Exhibit B: Novelty Statement

Variety PHA9G mostly resembles Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. proprietary inbred line PH3GR (PVP Certificate No. 9900357). Tables 1A and 1B show two sample t-tests on data collected primarily in Johnston and Dallas Center, IA. The traits collectively show measurable differences between the two varieties.

Exhibit B: Novelty Statement

Variety PHA9G has a greater leaf length (73.5 cm vs 64.9 cm) than variety PH3GR (Table 1A, 1B).

Variety PHA9G has a greater tassel length (58.4 cm vs 53.9 cm) than variety PH3GR (Table 1A, 1B).

Variety PHA9G has a lower percent BRTSTK (72.7 %not vs 98.5 %not) than variety PH3GR (Table 2A, 2B).

Definition:

BRTSTK = BRITTLE STALKS.

• This is a measure of the stalk breakage near the time of pollination, and is an indication of whether a hybrid or inbred would snap or break near the time of flowering under severe winds. Data are presented as percentage of plants that did not snap.

Exhibit B: Novelty Statement Tables

Table 1A: Data from Johnston and Dallas Center, IA (2003) broken out by environment are supporting evidence for differences between PHA9G and PH3GR. Varieties were grown in 3 locations that had different environmental conditions. Environments had different planting dates and were in different fields. A two-sample t-test was used to compare differences between means.

	Section 1 and 1 an	VARIETY	WARIETY	Sount-C	Sount-II	Vean-	Mean-	Mean	StdDeviation-	StdDeviatio	Striet	MAFITOL	DE POOL	alle Poole	Proh (9
DataField STA	STA	T	-7		Ŋ		N	L HO	2 DIFF 1 n-2 1-1 Second description of the s	n-2		; ; ;)) 	tail) Pooled
leaf length (cm)	JHBDA6 PHA9G PH3GR	PHA9G	PH3GR	ಭ	'n	73.4	61.0	12.4	4 506	7.348	2 015	3 286	œ	2.5	0.042
leaf length (cm)	JHBDI2 PHA9G PH3GR	PHA9G	PH3GR	ಬ	Q.	73.8			5.805			i			
gth	JHYDC2	PHA9G	JHYDC2 PHA9G PH3GR	ফ	വ	73.2	0.69		4.494				0 00		10.0
length	JHBDA6 PHA9G PH3GR	PHA9G	PH3GR	5	QJ	1	51.6		1 643			Ι.) <u>(</u>	
engt	JHBDI2	PHA9G	PH3GR	S.	S)	60.09	54.8		2.550	2.280		. !		3.4	
tassel length (cm)	JHYDC2PHA9G PH3GR	PHA9G	PH3GR	2	Ŋ	57.0	55.2	, 8	2,121					1.2	

Exhibit B. Novelty Statement Tables

Table 1B: Summary data from Johnston and Dallas Center, IA (2003) across environments are supporting evidence for differences between PHA9G and PH3GR. Environments had different planting dates and were in different fields. A two-sample t-test was used to compare differences between means.

3rob_(2- il)_Popled 0.000	0.000
ue Pooled ta	4.4 0.000
Pooled Val	28
StdErrork 2 DF 1.581	3.248 0.608 0.839
1.191	0.608
IDeviation-S 2 6.123	-
Deviation-Str 1 4.612	2.354
ean_Diff 8.5	4.5
Wean- 2 M 64.9	53.9
/lean- 1 73.5	
Count-1 2 15	15 15 58.4
Count-	
WARIETY 2 PH3GR	PH3GR
VARIETY 1 PHA9G	PHA9G
DataField eaf length (cm) assel length	(cm) PHA9G PH3GR

Table 2A: Data for PHA9G broken out by year and across the area of adaptation are supporting evidence for differences between PHA9G and PH3GR. Area of adaptation includes environments in different geopolitical units, fields, and planting dates. A two-sample t-test was used to compare differences between means.

	Mean1 Mean2 #Locs Diff SD1 SD2 Tvalue Prob	2 -22.8 1.7 0 -18.74 0.0339	
	Diff	2 -22.8	1 -31.8
	san2 #Locs	100.0	95.5
	Mean1 Me	77.2	63.7
/ARIETY-1 VARIETY-2		PH3GR	PH3GR
VARIETY-1	-		2003PHA9G
Year			
Trait Year	· 1.	BRTSTK	BRTSTK

Table 2B: Summary data for PHA9G across years and the area of adaptation are supporting evidence for differences between PHA9G and PH3GR. Area of adaptation includes environments in different geopolitical units, fields, and planting dates. A two-sample t-test was used to compare differences between means.

-8.39 0.0139 SD1 SD2 Tvalue Prob 5.6 3 -25.8 VARIETY-1 VARIETY-2 Mean1 Mean2 #Locs Diff 98.5 PH3GR -BRTSTK PHA9G Trait

Our experimental design was set up in a typical complete block design commonly used in agricultural corn research experiments using three locations/environments. One replication was grown at each location. This is one more environment than is required according to the PVP application instructions. Our approach was to test the variety in more than 1 location (as instructed) while also allowing us the extra location/environment if there should be an unexpected failure at a location due to weather or other problems. There may also be situations where an additional year of testing was conducted resulting in 2 years of trial data. There would likely be more variability due to soil type differences, nutrients, or weather typical of different testing environments than if all three trials were grown in the same field on the same farm with the same planting dates in the same year. If you recommend that all locations/environments are grown in the same field with the same planting dates and same year, please let us know and we will adjust our 2007 procedures.

The experimental design and methods for 2003 were as follows:

Please update the exhibit C addendum with this paragraph:

The experiment procedures involved three environments with different planting dates, planted in 17.42 ft. rows with 2 rows for each variety. Approximately 24-30 plants emerged in each of 2 rows for a total of around 48 to 60 plants being evaluated at each location and 144 to 180 plants across locations. For plant level traits, we sampled 5 representative plants from the 2 rows of the 2 row plot (group) of plants at each location. For plot level traits we evaluated the 2 row plot (group) and gave a representative score or average on the 48-60 plants in the group within an experiment.

Some traits can be especially variable under different environmental factors influenced by weather, soil type, or planting dates. Varying temperatures or day length could impact the meristem growth during various tissue differentiation stages. The meristem differentiation of the ear and other tissues could be impacted as well as the success of pollination during flowering and frequency of kernel abortion during grain fill. Such variation could impact some of the traits that you mention because our experiment design does not grow all of the trials in the same field with the same planting date.

I would be happy to share detailed protocols or discuss with you in more detail the sampling, experiment design, reporting, and the conscientious evaluations that went into the characterization of the data..

United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Marketing Service Science and Technology, Plant Variety Protection Office National Agricultural Library Building, Room 400 Beltsville, MD 20705-2351

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY CORN (Zea Mays L.)

Name of Applicant(s Pioneer Hi-Bred In	s) ternational, Inc	l Variety S	Seed Source		l Variety Name o	or Temporary D	esignation
Address (Street & N 7301 NW 62nd Ave	o., or R.F.D. No., City, State, nue, P.O. Box 85, Johnston	Zip Code and Count , Iowa 50131-0085	try I	FOR OFFICIAL	. USE	1 PVPO Nu 20040	
adding leading zero	te number that describes the vestif necessary. Completenes ry for an adequate variety des	s should be striven fo	or to establish a	ed variety in the n adequate varie	spaces below. Rigl ety description. Trai	nt justify whole ts designated b	numbers by by a "*" are
COLOR CHOICES (01. Light Green 02. Medium Green 03. Dark Green 04. Very Dark Gree 05. Green-Yellow	08. Yellow-Orange	ell color code to des 11. Pink 12. Light Red 13. Cherry Red 14. Red 15. Red & White	16. Pale 17. Pur 18. Cole 19. Whi	e Purple ole orless	#25 and #26 in Cor 21. Buff 22. Tan 23. Brown 24. Bronze 25. Variegated (E	26. Other (
STANDARD INBRE Yellow Dent Familio Family B14 B37 B73 C103 Oh43 WF9	D CHOICES [Use the most siles: Members CM105, A632, B64, B68 B37, B76, H84 N192, A679, B73, Nc268 Mo17, Va102, Va35, A682 A619, MS71, H99, Va26 W64A, A554, A654, Pa91	milar (in background Yellow Dent (Unre Co109, ND Oh7, T232 W117, W1: W182BN White Dent: Cl66, H10	lated): 0246 53R	f these to make o	Sweet Corn: C13, Iowas Popcorn: SG1533 Pipecorn:	on grow-out tr 5125, P39, 213 , 4722, HP301, o16W, Mo24W	2 . HP7211
2 (1=Swee	intermediate types in comme et, 2=Dent, 3=Flint, 4=Flour, 5 nts: Flint Like		al, 7=Pípecorn)	Flint-Dent	I Standard Inbre I <u>3</u> Type	ed Name	VA26
<u>2</u> (1=N.We	E DEVELOPED IN THE U.S./ est, 2=N.Central, 3=N.East, 4	=S.East, 5=S.Centra		Other	Standard Seed	d Source	AMES 19329
	region Best Adaptability; show HEAT UNITS 1,359.0 From emergence 1,323.7 From emergence 62 From 10% to 90° From 50% silk to	e to 50% of plants in to 50% of plants in	silk pollen ditv	ection):	I DAYS I 61 I 61 I 2 I I	1 1	UNITS .325.7 .315.0 53
87.1 cm Ear 17.3 cm Leng 0.0 Average 1.0 Average	t Height (to tassel tip) Height (to base of top ear noo gth of Top Ear Internode Number of Tillers Number of Ears per Stalk ranin of Brace Roots: 1=Abse		St.Dev. 8.41 8.76 1.44 0.01 0.06 rate, 4=Dark	Sample Size 15 15 15 3 3 3	l <u>227.7</u> l <u>83.6</u> l <u>14.6</u>	St.Dev. 10.18 14.31 2.06 0.06 0.06	Sample Size 15 15 15 3 3
Application Variety	Data		Page 1	·.	I Standard Inbre	ed Data	

	Page 2	ı	Standard Inbred	Data	
5. LEAF	St.Dev.	Sample Size I	Mean	St.Dev.	Sample Size
9.4 cm Width of Ear Node Leaf	1.06		9.0	0.76	
73.5 cm Length of Ear Node Leaf	4.61	15 15	7 <u>9.0</u> 78.1	5.20	<u>15</u> 15 15 15
6.5 Number of leaves above top ear	0.74	15 I			13
20.8 Degrees Leaf Angle	5.07	15 I	<u>6.5</u>	<u>0.52</u>	10
(Measure from 2nd leaf above ear at anthesis to stal	k ahove leaf)	<u>10</u> 1	<u>30.0</u>	<u>3.25</u>	10
4 Leaf Color (Munsell code) 7.5GY 3/4	K above lear)	<u> </u>	4 (Muncoll	code) 5GY	2/4
Leaf Sheath Pubescence (Rate on scale from 1=no Marginal Waves (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=r	one to 9=like peach fu	ızz) İ	4 (Munsell	(code) <u>561</u>	<u> 3/4</u>
Longitudinal Creases (Rate on scale from 1=none t	o 9=many)	1	_		
6. TASSEL:	St.Dev.	Sample Size I	Mean	St.Dev.	Sample Size
4.3 Number of Primary Lateral Branches	1.23	<u>15</u> I	19.0	2.14	
22.8 Branch Angle from Central Spike	7.39	<u>15</u> l	36.0	14.04	15
58.4 cm tassel Length	2.35	<u>15</u> i	65.2	3.90	15 15 15
(from top leaf collar to tassel tip)	<u>2.00</u>	10	<u>00.2</u>	5.50	10
5 Pollen Shed (Rate on scale from 0=male sterile to 9	=heavy shed)	. 1	Q		
5 Anther Color (Munsel code) 7.5Y 8/8	-neavy aneu)	:	8 1 (Munacil	Londol 40V	0/0
12 Glume Color (Munsell code) 10RP 4/8		į.		code) <u>10Y</u>	
		. !	- '	code) <u>5GY</u>	<u>5/8</u>
1 Bar Glumes (Glume Bands): 1=Absent, 2=Present		I	1		
a. EAR (Unhusked Data):		1			
Silk Color (3 days after emergence) (Munsell code)) <u>5GY</u>	<u>′ 9/4</u>	1 Munsell	code 2.5G	Y 8/6
2 Fresh Husk Color (25 days after 50% silking) (Mun	sell code) 5GY	<u>′ 6/8</u>	2 Munsell		
19 Dry Husk Color (65 days after 50% silking) (Munse	Il code) 10Y	R 9/2	19 Munsell		8.5/2
2 Position of Ear at Dry Husk Stage: 1=Upright, 2=He	orizontal 3=Pendent	1			
2 Position of Ear at Dry Husk Stage: 1=Upright, 2=He 6 Husk Tightness (Rate on scale from 1=very loose to the property of t	orizontal, 3=Pendent	1			
6 Husk Tightness (Rate on scale from 1=very loose t	o 9=very tight	1			
 Position of Ear at Dry Husk Stage: 1=Upright, 2=He Husk Tightness (Rate on scale from 1=very loose t Hush Extension (at harvest): 1=Short(ears exposed (8-10cm beyond ear tip), 4=Very Long (>10cm) 	o 9=very tight	1	3 7 3		
6 Husk Tightness (Rate on scale from 1=very loose to 2 Hush Extension (at harvest): 1=Short(ears exposed (8-10cm beyond ear tip), 4=Very Long (>10cm)	o 9=very tight d), 2=Medium (<8cm)), 3=Long I	3 7 3	St Doy	Sample Size
6 Husk Tightness (Rate on scale from 1=very loose to 2 Hush Extension (at harvest): 1=Short(ears exposed (8-10cm beyond ear tip), 4=Very Long (>10cm) b. EAR (Husked Ear Data)	o 9=very tight d), 2=Medium (<8cm) St. Dev.	Sample Size	3 7 3 Mean	St.Dev.	
6 Husk Tightness (Rate on scale from 1=very loose to 2 Hush Extension (at harvest): 1=Short(ears exposed (8-10cm beyond ear tip), 4=Very Long (>10cm) 7b. EAR (Husked Ear Data) 14.1 cm Ear Length	o 9=very tight d), 2=Medium (<8cm) St. Dev. 1.13), 3=Long I	3 7 3 Mean 14.5	<u>2.47</u>	<u>15</u>
6 Husk Tightness (Rate on scale from 1=very loose to 2 Hush Extension (at harvest): 1=Short(ears exposed (8-10cm beyond ear tip), 4=Very Long (>10cm) 7b. EAR (Husked Ear Data) 14.1 cm Ear Length 41.1 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point	o 9=very tight d), 2=Medium (<8cm) St. Dev. 1.13 3.04	3=Long	3 7 3 Mean 14.5 42.6	<u>2.47</u> <u>2.85</u>	<u>15</u> <u>15</u>
6 Husk Tightness (Rate on scale from 1=very loose to 2 Hush Extension (at harvest): 1=Short(ears exposed (8-10cm beyond ear tip), 4=Very Long (>10cm) b. EAR (Husked Ear Data) 14.1 cm Ear Length 41.1 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 113.5 gm Ear Weight	so 9=very tight d), 2=Medium (<8cm) St. Dev. 1.13 3.04 22.19	Sample Size 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	3 7 3 Mean 14.5 42.6 104.1	2.47 2.85 32.55	<u>15</u> <u>15</u> 15
6 Husk Tightness (Rate on scale from 1=very loose t 2 Hush Extension (at harvest): 1=Short(ears exposed (8-10cm beyond ear tip), 4=Very Long (>10cm) b. EAR (Husked Ear Data) 14.1 cm Ear Length 41.1 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 113.5 gm Ear Weight 16.4 Number of Kernel Rows	o 9=very tight d), 2=Medium (<8cm) St. Dev. 1.13 3.04	3=Long	3 7 3 Mean 14.5 42.6 104.1 15.9	<u>2.47</u> <u>2.85</u>	<u>15</u> <u>15</u> 15
6 Husk Tightness (Rate on scale from 1=very loose to 2 Hush Extension (at harvest): 1=Short(ears exposed (8-10cm beyond ear tip), 4=Very Long (>10cm) b. EAR (Husked Ear Data) 14.1 cm Ear Length 41.1 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 113.5 gm Ear Weight 16.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct	st. Dev. 1.13 3.04 22.19 1.72	Sample Size 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	3 7 3 Mean 14.5 42.6 104.1 15.9	2.47 2.85 32.55	<u>15</u> <u>15</u> 15
6 Husk Tightness (Rate on scale from 1=very loose to 2 Hush Extension (at harvest): 1=Short(ears exposed (8-10cm beyond ear tip), 4=Very Long (>10cm) 7b. EAR (Husked Ear Data) 14.1 cm Ear Length 41.1 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 113.5 gm Ear Weight 10.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=S	st. Dev. 1.13 3.04 22.19 1.72	Sample Size 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	3 7 3 Mean 14.5 42.6 104.1 15.9 2	2.47 2.85 32.55	<u>15</u> <u>15</u> 15
6 Husk Tightness (Rate on scale from 1=very loose to 2 Hush Extension (at harvest): 1=Short(ears exposed (8-10cm beyond ear tip), 4=Very Long (>10cm) 7b. EAR (Husked Ear Data) 14.1 cm Ear Length 41.1 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 113.5 gm Ear Weight 16.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=S 10.2 cm Shank Length	st. Dev. 1.13 3.04 22.19 1.72	Sample Size 15 15 15 1 1 1 1 1 1	3 7 3 Mean 14.5 42.6 104.1 15.9 2 1	2.47 2.85 32.55 1.19	Sample Size 15 15 15 15
6 Husk Tightness (Rate on scale from 1=very loose to 2 Hush Extension (at harvest): 1=Short(ears exposed (8-10cm beyond ear tip), 4=Very Long (>10cm) b. EAR (Husked Ear Data) 14.1 cm Ear Length 41.1 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 113.5 gm Ear Weight 16.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=S	st. Dev. 1.13 3.04 22.19 1.72	Sample Size 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	3 7 3 Mean 14.5 42.6 104.1 15.9 2	2.47 2.85 32.55	15 15 15 15
6 Husk Tightness (Rate on scale from 1=very loose to 2 Hush Extension (at harvest): 1=Short(ears exposed (8-10cm beyond ear tip), 4=Very Long (>10cm) 7b. EAR (Husked Ear Data) 14.1 cm Ear Length 41.1 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 113.5 gm Ear Weight 16.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=S 10.2 cm Shank Length 2 Ear Taper: 1=Slight, 2=Average, 3=Extreme	st. Dev. 1.13 3.04 22.19 1.72	Sample Size 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	3 7 3 Mean 14.5 42.6 104.1 15.9 2 1 10.7	2.47 2.85 32.55 1.19	15 15 15 15
6 Husk Tightness (Rate on scale from 1=very loose to 2 Hush Extension (at harvest): 1=Short(ears exposed (8-10cm beyond ear tip), 4=Very Long (>10cm) b. EAR (Husked Ear Data) 14.1 cm Ear Length 41.1 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 113.5 gm Ear Weight 16.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=S 10.2 cm Shank Length 2 Ear Taper: 1=Slight, 2=Average, 3=Extreme	St. Dev. St. Dev. 1.13 3.04 22.19 1.72 Spiral 2.14 St.Dev.	Sample Size 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	Mean 14.5 42.6 104.1 15.9 2 1 10.7 2 Mean	2.47 2.85 32.55 1.19 2.02	15 15 15 15 15
6 Husk Tightness (Rate on scale from 1=very loose to 2 Hush Extension (at harvest): 1=Short(ears exposed (8-10cm beyond ear tip), 4=Very Long (>10cm) 7b. EAR (Husked Ear Data) 14.1 cm Ear Length 41.1 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 113.5 gm Ear Weight 16.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=S 10.2 cm Shank Length 2 Ear Taper: 1=Slight, 2=Average, 3=Extreme KERNEL (Dried): 10.5 mm Kernel Length	St. Dev. St. Dev. 1.13 3.04 22.19 1.72 Spiral 2.14 St.Dev. 0.92	Sample Size 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	Mean 14.5 42.6 104.1 15.9 2 1 10.7 2 Mean 10.7	2.47 2.85 32.55 1.19 2.02 St.Dev. 0.72	15 15 15 15 15
6 Husk Tightness (Rate on scale from 1=very loose to 2 Hush Extension (at harvest): 1=Short(ears exposed (8-10cm beyond ear tip), 4=Very Long (>10cm) b. EAR (Husked Ear Data) 14.1 cm Ear Length 41.1 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 113.5 gm Ear Weight 16.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=S 10.2 cm Shank Length 2 Ear Taper: 1=Slight, 2=Average, 3=Extreme KERNEL (Dried): 10.5 mm Kernel Length 8.0 mm Kernel Width	St. Dev. 1.13 3.04 22.19 1.72 Spiral 2.14 St. Dev. 0.92 0.53	Sample Size 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	Mean 14.5 42.6 104.1 15.9 2 1 10.7 2 Mean 10.7 7.9	2.47 2.85 32.55 1.19 2.02 St.Dev. 0.72 0.59	15 15 15 15 15 Sample Size
6 Husk Tightness (Rate on scale from 1=very loose to 2 Hush Extension (at harvest): 1=Short(ears exposed (8-10cm beyond ear tip), 4=Very Long (>10cm) b. EAR (Husked Ear Data) 14.1 cm Ear Length 41.1 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 13.5 gm Ear Weight 16.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=S 10.2 cm Shank Length 2 Ear Taper: 1=Slight, 2=Average, 3=Extreme KERNEL (Dried): 10.5 mm Kernel Length 8.0 mm Kernel Width 5.3 mm Kernel Thickness	St. Dev. 1.13 3.04 22.19 1.72 Spiral 2.14 St.Dev. 0.92 0.53 1.16	Sample Size	Mean 14.5 42.6 104.1 15.9 2 1 10.7 2 Mean 10.7 7.9 4.2	2.47 2.85 32.55 1.19 2.02 St.Dev. 0.72 0.59 0.56	15 15 15 15 15 Sample Size 11 15
6 Husk Tightness (Rate on scale from 1=very loose to 2 Hush Extension (at harvest): 1=Short(ears exposed (8-10cm beyond ear tip), 4=Very Long (>10cm) b. EAR (Husked Ear Data) 14.1 cm Ear Length 41.1 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 113.5 gm Ear Weight 16.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=S 10.2 cm Shank Length 2 Ear Taper: 1=Slight, 2=Average, 3=Extreme KERNEL (Dried): 10.5 mm Kernel Length 8.0 mm Kernel Uidth 5.3 mm Kernel Thickness 51.7 % Round Kernels (Shape Grade)	St. Dev. 1.13 3.04 22.19 1.72 Spiral St. Dev. 2.14 St.Dev. 0.92 0.53 1.16 9.49	Sample Size 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	Mean 14.5 42.6 104.1 15.9 2 1 10.7 2 Mean 10.7 7.9 4.2 14.8	2.47 2.85 32.55 1.19 2.02 St.Dev. 0.72 0.59	15 15 15 15 15 Sample Size 15 15
6 Husk Tightness (Rate on scale from 1=very loose to 2 Hush Extension (at harvest): 1=Short(ears exposed (8-10cm beyond ear tip), 4=Very Long (>10cm) b. EAR (Husked Ear Data) 14.1 cm Ear Length 41.1 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 113.5 gm Ear Weight 16.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=S 10.2 cm Shank Length 2 Ear Taper: 1=Slight, 2=Average, 3=Extreme KERNEL (Dried): 10.5 mm Kernel Length 8.0 mm Kernel Width 5.3 mm Kernel Thickness 51.7 % Round Kernels (Shape Grade) 1 Aleurone Color Pattern: 1=Homozygous, 2=Segreg	St. Dev. 1.13 3.04 22.19 1.72 Spiral St. Dev. 0.92 0.53 1.16 9.49 ating (Describe)	Sample Size	Mean 14.5 42.6 104.1 15.9 2 1 10.7 2 Mean 10.7 2 14.8 1	2.47 2.85 32.55 1.19 2.02 St.Dev. 0.72 0.59 0.56 2.94	15 15 15 15 15 Sample Size 15 15 15
6 Husk Tightness (Rate on scale from 1=very loose to 2 Hush Extension (at harvest): 1=Short(ears exposed (8-10cm beyond ear tip), 4=Very Long (>10cm) b. EAR (Husked Ear Data) 14.1 cm Ear Length 41.1 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 113.5 gm Ear Weight 16.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=S 10.2 cm Shank Length 2 Ear Taper: 1=Slight, 2=Average, 3=Extreme KERNEL (Dried): 10.5 mm Kernel Length 8.0 mm Kernel Width 5.3 mm Kernel Thickness 51.7 % Round Kernels (Shape Grade) 1 Aleurone Color Pattern: 1=Homozygous, 2=Segreg 19 Aleurone Color (Munsell code)	St. Dev. 1.13 3.04 22.19 1.72 Spiral St. Dev. 2.14 St.Dev. 9.92 0.53 1.16 9.49 atting (Describe)	Sample Size	Mean 14.5 42.6 104.1 15.9 2 1 10.7 2 Mean 10.7 1.9 4.2 14.8 1 Munsell	2.47 2.85 32.55 1.19 2.02 St.Dev. 0.72 0.59 0.56 2.94 code 2.5	15 15 15 15 Sample Size 15 15 15 15 3
6 Husk Tightness (Rate on scale from 1=very loose to 2 Hush Extension (at harvest): 1=Short(ears exposed (8-10cm beyond ear tip), 4=Very Long (>10cm) b. EAR (Husked Ear Data) 14.1 cm Ear Length 41.1 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 113.5 gm Ear Weight 16.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=S 10.2 cm Shank Length 2 Ear Taper: 1=Slight, 2=Average, 3=Extreme KERNEL (Dried): 10.5 mm Kernel Length 8.0 mm Kernel Width 5.3 mm Kernel Thickness 51.7 % Round Kernels (Shape Grade) 1 Aleurone Color Pattern: 1=Homozygous, 2=Segreg 19 Aleurone Color (Munsell code) 19 Hard Endosperm Color (Munsell code)	St. Dev. 1.13 3.04 22.19 1.72 Spiral St.Dev. 0.92 0.53 1.16 9.49 ating (Describe) 9/1	Sample Size 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	Mean 14.5 42.6 104.1 15.9 2 1 10.7 2 Mean 10.7 7.9 4.2 14.8 1 Munsell 7 Munsell 7 Munsell	2.47 2.85 32.55 1.19 2.02 St.Dev. 0.72 0.59 0.56 2.94 code 2.5	15 15 15 15 15 Sample Size 11 15 15
6 Husk Tightness (Rate on scale from 1=very loose to 2 Hush Extension (at harvest): 1=Short(ears exposed (8-10cm beyond ear tip), 4=Very Long (>10cm) b. EAR (Husked Ear Data) 14.1 cm Ear Length 41.1 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 113.5 gm Ear Weight 16.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=S 10.2 cm Shank Length 2 Ear Taper: 1=Slight, 2=Average, 3=Extreme KERNEL (Dried): 10.5 mm Kernel Length 8.0 mm Kernel Width 5.3 mm Kernel Width 5.3 mm Kernel Thickness 51.7 % Round Kernels (Shape Grade) 1 Aleurone Color (Munsell code) 1 Aleurone Color (Munsell code) 1 Hard Endosperm Color (Munsell code) 2 Endosperm Type: 1=Sweet(su1), 2=Extra Sweet(sh	St. Dev. 1.13 3.04 22.19 1.72 Spiral St.Dev. 0.92 0.53 1.16 9.49 atting (Describe) 1.9/1 1.9/1 1.2), 3=Normal Starch	Sample Size 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	Mean 14.5 42.6 104.1 15.9 2 1 10.7 2 Mean 10.7 1.9 4.2 14.8 1 Munsell	2.47 2.85 32.55 1.19 2.02 St.Dev. 0.72 0.59 0.56 2.94 code 2.5	15 15 15 15 Sample Size 15 15 15 15 3
6 Husk Tightness (Rate on scale from 1=very loose to 2 Hush Extension (at harvest): 1=Short(ears exposed (8-10cm beyond ear tip), 4=Very Long (>10cm) b. EAR (Husked Ear Data) 14.1 cm Ear Length 41.1 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 113.5 gm Ear Weight 16.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=S 10.2 cm Shank Length 2 Ear Taper: 1=Slight, 2=Average, 3=Extreme KERNEL (Dried): 10.5 mm Kernel Length 8.0 mm Kernel Width 5.3 mm Kernel Thickness 51.7 % Round Kernels (Shape Grade) 1 Aleurone Color Pattern: 1=Homozygous, 2=Segreg 19 Aleurone Color (Munsell code) 1 Hard Endosperm Color (Munsell code) 2 Endosperm Type: 1=Sweet(su1), 2=Extra Sweet(sham) are time to the suppose to the s	St. Dev. 1.13 3.04 22.19 1.72 Spiral St.Dev. 0.92 0.53 1.16 9.49 atting (Describe) 1.9/1 1.9/1 1.2), 3=Normal Starch	Sample Size 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	Mean 14.5 42.6 104.1 15.9 2 1 10.7 2 Mean 10.7 7.9 4.2 14.8 1 Munsell 7 Munsell 7 Munsell	2.47 2.85 32.55 1.19 2.02 St.Dev. 0.72 0.59 0.56 2.94 code 2.5	15 15 15 15 Sample Size 15 15 15 25 87 8/14
6 Husk Tightness (Rate on scale from 1=very loose to 2 Hush Extension (at harvest): 1=Short(ears exposed (8-10cm beyond ear tip), 4=Very Long (>10cm) 7b. EAR (Husked Ear Data) 14.1 cm Ear Length 41.1 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 113.5 gm Ear Weight 16.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=S10.2 cm Shank Length 2 Ear Taper: 1=Slight, 2=Average, 3=Extreme 1 KERNEL (Dried): 10.5 mm Kernel Length 8.0 mm Kernel Width 5.3 mm Kernel Thickness 51.7 % Round Kernels (Shape Grade) 1 Aleurone Color Pattern: 1=Homozygous, 2=Segreg 19 Aleurone Color (Munsell code) 1 Hard Endosperm Color (Munsell code) 3 Endosperm Type: 1=Sweet(su1), 2=Extra Sweet(st Amylose Starch, 5=Waxy Starch, 6=High Protein, 7= (se), 9=High Oil, 10=Other	St. Dev. 1.13 3.04 22.19 1.72 Spiral St.Dev. 0.92 0.53 1.16 9.49 atting (Describe) 9/1 12), 3=Normal Starch High Lysine, 8=Supe	Sample Size 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	Mean 14.5 42.6 104.1 15.9 2 10.7 2 Mean 10.7 7.9 4.2 14.8 1 Munsell 7 Munsell 3	2.47 2.85 32.55 1.19 2.02 St.Dev. 0.72 0.59 0.56 2.94 code 2.5	15 15 15 15 15 15 Sample Size 15 15 15 15 28 28 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34
6 Husk Tightness (Rate on scale from 1=very loose to 2 Hush Extension (at harvest): 1=Short(ears exposed (8-10cm beyond ear tip), 4=Very Long (>10cm) b. EAR (Husked Ear Data) 14.1 cm Ear Length 41.1 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 113.5 gm Ear Weight 16.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=S 10.2 cm Shank Length 2 Ear Taper: 1=Slight, 2=Average, 3=Extreme KERNEL (Dried): 10.5 mm Kernel Length 8.0 mm Kernel Width 5.3 mm Kernel Thickness 51.7 % Round Kernels (Shape Grade) 1 Aleurone Color Pattern: 1=Homozygous, 2=Segreg 19 Aleurone Color (Munselt code) 1 Hard Endosperm Color (Munselt code) 2 Endosperm Type: 1=Sweet(su1), 2=Extra Sweet(sham) are time to the suppose to the s	St. Dev. 1.13 3.04 22.19 1.72 Spiral St.Dev. 0.92 0.53 1.16 9.49 atting (Describe) 1.9/1 1.9/1 1.2), 3=Normal Starch	Sample Size 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	Mean 14.5 42.6 104.1 15.9 2 1 10.7 2 Mean 10.7 7.9 4.2 14.8 1 Munsell 7 Munsell 7 Munsell	2.47 2.85 32.55 1.19 2.02 St.Dev. 0.72 0.59 0.56 2.94 code 2.5	15 15 15 15 15 Sample Size 15 15 15 3

Note: Use chart on first page to choose color codes for color traits

Application Variety Data	Page 3		Standard Inbred Data	
9. COB:	St.Dev.	Sample Size I	Mean St.Dev Samp	le Size
23.8 mm Cob Diameter at mid-point	1.21	<u>15</u>	26.0 1.25	15
19 Cob Color (Munsell code)	<u>5Y 9/1</u>	<u></u> (19 Munsell code 2.5Y 9/2	<u></u>
10. DISEASE RESISTANCE (Rate from 1(most susc	ceptible) to 9 (most resistant); le	eave blank I		
if not tested; leave Race or Strain Options blank if po	lygenic):	l		
A. Leaf Blights, Wilts, and Local Infection Diseases		ļ		
_ Anthracnose Leaf Blight (Colletotrichum gra	minicola)	1	_ Anthracnose Leaf Blight	
Common Rust (Puccinia sorghi)		I	Common Rust	
Common Smut (Ustilago maydis)		l	_ Common Smut	
Eyespot (Kabatiella zeae)		ļ	Eyespot	
Goss's Wilt (Clavibacter michiganense spp.)	nebraskense)	Ţ	Goss's Wilt	
4 Gray Leaf Spot (Cercospora zeae-maydis)		ļ	2 Gray Leaf Spot	_
Helminthosporium Leaf Spot (Bipolaris zeic			Helminthosporium Leaf Spot	Race
4 Northern Leaf Blight (Exserohilum furcicum		!	3 Northern Leaf Blight	Race
Southern Leaf Blight (Bipolaris maydis)	Race	!	Southern Leaf Blight	Race
Southern Rust (Puccinia Polysora)		!	Southern Rust	
Stewart's Wilt (Erwinia stevartii)		ļ	Stewart's Wilt	
_ Other (Specify)			_ Other (Specify)	
Corn Lethal Necrosis (MCMV and MDMV)			Corn Lethal Necrosis	
8 Head Smut (Sphacelotheca reiliana)		1	9 Head Smut	
Maize Chlorotic Dwarf Virus (MCDV)		-	Maize Chlorotic Dwarf Virus	
Maize Chlorotic Mottle Virus (MCMV)		;	Maize Chlorotic Dwarf virus Maize Chlorotic Mottle Virus	
6 Maize Dwarf Mosaic Virus (MDMV)	Strain	i		Strain
Sorghum Downy Mildew of Corn (Peronosci		— ;	Sorghum Downy Mildew of Co	
Other (Specify)	io.copora corgini)	i	Other (Specify)	
C. Stalk Rots		i	_ Calci (opcony)	_
5 Anthracnose Stalk Rot (Colletotrichum gram	ninicola)	i	3 Anthracnose Stalk Rot	
Diplodia Stalk Rot (Stenocarpella maydis)	,	i	Diplodia Stalk Rot	
Fusarium Stalk Rot (Fusarium moniliforme)		i	Fusarium Stalk Rot	
Gibberella Stalk Rot (Gibberella zeae)		i	Gibberella Stalk Rot	
_ Other (Specify)		į	Other (Specify)	
D. Ear and Kernel Rots	12.7	ı	_ \ \ ' \ '/	_
_ Aspergillus Ear and Kernel Rot (Aspergillus	flavus)	Í	Aspergillus Ear & Kernel Rot	
Diplodia Ear Rot (Stenocarpella maydis)	•	i	Diplodia Ear Rot	
Fusarim Ear and Kernel Rot (Fusarium mon	iliforme)	i	Fusarium Ear & Kernel Rot	
3 Gibberella Ear Rot (Gibberella zeae)	,	i	4 Gibberella Ear Rot	
Other (Specify)		İ	Other (Specify)	
Application Variety Data	Page 3		Standard Inbred Data	

Note: Use chart on first page to choose color codes for color traits.

Application Variety Data	Pag	ge 4	I Standard Inbred Data
11. INSECT RESISTANCE (Rate from 1(most susceptible) to 9 (most resistant	h: Leave blank	1
if not tested	St. Dev.	Sample Size	St. Dev. Sample Size
Banks Grass Mite (Oligonychus pratensis)			l Banks Grass Mite
Corn Earworm (Helicoverpa zea)			I Corn Earworm
_ Leaf Feeding		•	Leaf Feeding
Silk Feedingmg larval wt.		*	
_ Ear Damage			I _ Ear Damage
Corn Leaf Aphid (Rhopalosiphum maidis)			Corn Leaf Aphid
Corn Sap Beetle (Carpophilus dimidiatus)			Corn Sap Beetle
European Corn Borer (Ostrinia nubilalis)			I European Corn Borer
1 st Generation (Typically Whorl Leaf Feeding)			1 st Generation
_ 2 nd Generarion (Typically Leaf Sheath-Collar Feeding) Stalk Tunneling: cm tunneled/plant			_ 2 nd Generation
Fall Armyworm (Spodoptera frugiperda)			1 Fall Armanorm
Leaf-Feeding			Fall Armyworm Leaf-Feeding
Silk-Feedingmg larval wt.			Lear-reeding
_ Maize Weevil (Sitophilus Zeamaize)			Maize Weevil
			_ IVIdi26 VV66VII
Northern Rootworm (Diabrotica barberi)			Northern Rootworm
 Southern Rotworm (Diabrotica undecimpunctata) 			Southern Rootworm
Southwestern Corn Borer (Diatraea grandiosella)			Southwestern Corn Borer
_ Leaf Feeding			I _ Leaf Feeding
Stalk Tunneling:cm tunneled/plant			
 Two-spotted Spider Mite (Tetranychus urticae) 	:		Two-spotted Spider Mite
 Western Rootworm (Diabrotica virgifera virgifera) 			Western Rootworm
_ Other (Specify)		<u> </u>	Other (Specify)
12. AGRONOMIC TRAITS:			1
6 Stay Green (at 65 days after anthesis) (Rate on scale from	om 1=worst to	9=exellent)	I <u>2</u> Stay Green
% Dropped Ears (at 65 days after anthesis)		o oxonone,	I % Dropped Ears
% Pre-anthesis Brittle Snapping			% Pre-anthesis Brittle Snapping
% Pre-anthesis Root Lodging			l % Pre-anthesis Root Lodging
Post-anthesis Root Lodging			Post-anthesis Root Lodging
5,146.0 kg/ha Yield of Inbred per se (at 12-13% grain mo	isture)		1 <u>3,892.0</u> Yield
13. MOLECULAR MARKERS: (0=data unavailable; 1=data availa	ble but not su	nnlied: 2=data sunnli	ed \
1 Isozymes RFLP's	_ RAPD's		•
_ Nozymos N Li S	_ IVAEDS		Other (Specify)
REFERENCES:			
Butler, D.R. 1954. A System for the Classification of Corn Inbred I	ines PhD Th	esis Ohio University	
Ernerson, R.A., G.W. Beadle, and A.C. Fraser, 1935. A summary	of Linkage St	udies in Maize. Corne	ellAFS Mem 180
Farr, D.F., G.F. Bills, G.P. Chamuris, A.Y. Rossman. 1989. Fungi	on Plant Prod	lucts in the United St	ates. The American Phytonathological
Society, St. Paul, MN.			
Inglett, G.E. (Ed) 1970. Corn: Culture, Processing, Products. Avi F	Publichina Co	mnany Mantagint C	T
Jugenheimer, R.W. 1976. Corn: Improvement, Seed Production, a	rubiisiiiiy Coi	npany, westpoint, C	I.
McGee, D.C. 1988. Maize Diseases. APS Press, St. Paul, MN. 15		ii wiley a solis, ivew	TOIK.
Munsell Color Chart for Plant Tissues. Macbeth. P.O. Box 230. Ne	whurah NV	12551,0220	
The Mutants of Maize. 1968. Crop Science Society of America. Ma		12001-0200	
Shurtleff, M.C. 1980. Compendium of Corn Diseases. APS Press,	St Doub MN	105 nn	
Sprague, G.F., and J.W. Dudley (Editors). 1988. Corn and Corn in	or raul, will	. 100 pp. Chird Edition Agrono	my Managraph 19 ASA CSSA SSSA
Madison, WI.	iibinaseiiieiiť	rma Eulion, Agrono	my wonograph to. AoA, OSSA, SSSA,
·	_	•	
Stringfield, G.H. Maize Inbred Lines of Ohio A.E.S., Bul. 831, 1959	9.		
U.S. Department of Agriculture 1936, 1937. Yearbook.			
COMMENTS (a. a state how bend with more set and a find			

COMMENTS (e. g. state how heat units were calculated, standard inbred seed source, and/or where data was collected. Continue in Exhibit D) Insect, disease, brittle snapping and root lodging data are collected mainly from environment where variability for the trait can be obtained within the experiment.

CLARIFICATION OF DATA IN EXHIBITS B AND C 2004

Please note the data presented in Exhibit B and C, "Objective Description of Variety," are collected primarily at Johnston and Dallas Center, Iowa. The data in Tables 1A and 1B are from two sample t-tests using data collected in Johnston and Dallas Center, IA. The data in Tables 2A and 2B are from two sample t-tests using data collected from the area of adaptation of PHA9G. These traits in Exhibit B collectively show distinct differences between the two varieties.

REPRODUCE LOCALLY. Include form number and edition date on all reproductions U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	FORM APPROVED - OMB NO. (9581-0055
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE	Application is required in order to determ	
EXHIBIT E	certificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 242 confidential until the certificate is issued	
STATEMENT OF THE BASIS OF OWNERSHIP		
1.NAME OF APPLICANT(S)	2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION OR EXPERIMENTAL NUMBER	3. VARIETY NAME
PIONEER HI-BRED INTERNATIONAL, INC.		PHA9G
4 .ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City, State, and ZIP, and Country)	5.TELEPHONE (include area code)	6. FAX (include area code)
7301 NW 62 nd AVENUE	515-270-4051	515-253-212
P.O.BOX 85 JOHNSTON, IA 50131-0085	7.PVPO NUMBER 20	04 00 19 5
	·	·
B.Does the applicant own all rights to the variety? Mark an "X" in the appropriate	block. If no, please explain: 🛛 YES	□NÒ
	•	
Is the applicant (individual or company) a U.S. national or a U.S. based compa	ny? If no, give name of country ⊠ Y	ES □ NO
	ny? If no, give name of country ⊠ Y answer <u>one</u> of the following:	ES □ NO
	answer <u>one</u> of the following:	ES □ NO
0. Is the applicant the original owner? ⊠ YES □ NO If no, please	answer <u>one</u> of the following:	ES □ NO
a. If the original rights to variety were owned by individual(s), is (are) the	answer <u>one</u> of the following: original owner(s) a U.S. National(s)?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
O. Is the applicant the original owner? ☑ YES □ NO If no, please a a. If the original rights to variety were owned by individual(s), is (are) the output □ YES □ NO if no, give name of country	answer <u>one</u> of the following: original owner(s) a U.S. National(s)?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

PLEASE NOTE:

Plant variety protection can only be afforded to the owners (not licensees) who meet the following criteria;

such variety was created. No rights to this variety are retained by any individuals.

- 1. If the rights to the variety are owned by the original breeder, that person must be a U.S. national, national of a UPOV member country, or national of a country which affords similar protection to nationals of the U.S. for the same genus and species.
- If the rights to the variety are owned by the company which employed the original breeder(s), the company must be U.S. based, owned by nationals of a UPOV member country, or owned by nationals of a country which affords similar protection to nationals of the U.S. for the same genus and species.
- 3. If the applicant is an owner who is not the original owner, both the original owner and the applicant must meet one of the above criteria.

The original breeder/owner may be the individual or company who directed the final breeding. See Section 41(a)(2) of the Plant Variety Protection Act for definitions.

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0581-0055. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 0.1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing the instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, sexual orientation, marital or family status, political beliefs, parental status, or protected genetic information. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at 202-720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 14th and Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (202) 720-5964 (voice and TDD). USDA is an equal employment opportunity provide and employer.